Present

G.R.S. IX—5]

Previous references. 1979. **File No.** 42-19

Ch. 202-07(1-5) (Courts) **Serial Nos.** 21, 22, 30, 45, 48 52 to 59.

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. Thirteen.

Part B for January 1923.

SUBJECT

Antecedents of Mr. H. V. Raghavendra Rao, appointed as one of the Honorary Magistrates for the Honnur Taluk in Notification No. 13 S-73/Ch-42-19-5 of the 4th Dec. 1919.

8(1 59) To

The D. C. Kanara

No. P 5706 of 15.1.23.

States that Mr. H. V. Raghavendra Rao's name may not be again recommended for appointment as Special Magistrate for the Bench Court at Honnur.

Printed on the Multigraph.

Mr Byar Hussain
25/1/23.

G. R. S. III—1] 1919.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Courts)

NOTES.

File No. 42—19

FILE HEADING.

Constitution of Bench Magistrates' Courts at Taluk Headquarters in the District of Mysore & Kadur.

From

No.	Dated	Received
Diary No.	Enclos. Spare Copies.	Serial No. 13

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

NOTES—First Sheet.

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]



1) A report may be called for from the District Magistrate of Mysore as to the antecedents of Mr. H. N. Raghavendra Rao recently appointed as one of the -- Honorary Magistrates for the Hunsur Taluk.

R. No. 37 / 22.12.19

To

The Chief Secretary with compliments.

2 Honorary Asstt

by Draft (Copies)
D.M. 23/12



by Submitted with a draft for approval, as per order above. If necessary the Draft may be converted to DO

8/1/20
for 5/1

by Secy
D.M. 6/1

21

To

B. F. Bowring Esqr

D.O. No. G. 15807 dt 12th January 1920.

Calls for a report as to the antecedents of H. N. Raghavendra Rao, Hon. Magte, Hunsur Bench Court.

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

9711 (3)
13571

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Lett File No. 42 of 1919

Serial No. 22

Fm

The D. M. Mysore dist—
no. 82 dtd 35-2 919-20 g 21st Jany 1920
States that Mr H. V. Rajaramdra Rao, one of the Hony magistrates for Hunsur taluk is a land-lord residing in Hunsur and that he seems to be a respectable man taking an interest in public affairs.

The D. M. also reports that Mr Rajaramdra Rao was a police inspector in the State for about 4 years and was for some time a clerk in the Military Grass Farm at Hunsur.

Lett with reference to proceedings

D.

24/1 7) II Member D. M. 5/2

3959 5-2-20

Mr V. G. P. Maya asked Mr. Finlay to state under what circumstances Mr H V Rajaramdra Rao resigned his police service.

Lett 6/2

draft D. M. 6/2

C5 6/2

6) Submitted with a draft as per orders above

Please

7/2/1920 7/2

9) Issued D. M. 11/2

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

D.O 384.

OFFICE OF THE
INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

Dated Bangalore, 28th February 1920

Dear Sir,

With reference to your D.O. No.G.18631/Cts 42-19-30 dated 13th February 1920 re Mr. H. N. Raghavendra Rao, I write to inform you that on a search made in this office Registers it is seen that he was borne on the Establishment Bangalore-Nanjangud Section Railway Police but how his services terminated is not known as the papers relating to the Railway Police of that period have been destroyed.

Further search is being made and result will be intimated.

Yours sincerely,

Offg. Inspector General of Police.

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer Esquire, B.A.,
Chief Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Bangalore.

(3571
15939
1917
(5)

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Ch File No. 42 of 1919

Serial No.

(1) Submitted for consideration
D. M.
1/3

4574
2.3.20 — III Member
5/3.

(2) Remr on 20.3.20
D. M.
6/3

6/3

(3) Submitted with a reminder as per orders above
K.R.
Secretary
Dept
22/3

(4) Issued
D. M.
27/3

Issued No. G. 22545 Dy 31-3-20.

Ad interim reply

* Destroyed

D.O. C. 2552/19-20-G. 3.20 Ap 1920 re
on 5.4.4

states that certain particulars concerning the matter in question are expected from the Comptroller & on the receipt of the same, further communication will be made.

(5) The reply may be awaited, as stated therein
Sabd. for information.
K.R.
8/4/1920
8/4

5563
8.4.20 — III Member
D. M.
8/4
13/4
D. M.
14/4

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 42 of 1919.

Serial No.	
45	From The I.G. of Police in Mysore No D2 Dis 2274 dt 10th Apl 1920 recd on 12-4-1920 States that his name is not entered in the Schedule of establishment for the years 1894 to 1900 and the reason for omission is not known. (1) Asst Secretary's order on the papers under disposal :- Please put up a draft asking the I.G. to be so good as to instruct the Mirs to pursue the search & furnish the information required by Govt. (2nd) Pm. Subd with a draft accordy d.o. letter to the D.S. Subd for inform[n] III Member Mirs. Issues Issued No. G. 25288 Dt. 5-5-20. From, The Inspector General of Police in Mysore D2 Dis 2894/19-20 dt 1/2 June 1920 recd on 4th June 1920 States that the individual in question was first suspended from service & then dismissed. Certain spl extracts also are sent for reference. Submitted for orders, with reference to para (1) above.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

2220/17)

General and Revenue Secretariat. | (A) File No. 42 of 1919.

Serial No.

12) Subd for perusal.
Since he is dismissed
off, it is for him to consider
whether he can be retained as
any Govt servt. If appl,
further enquiries will
be made as to his record
& his suspension & dismissal

D. M.
Veb

8231
26-6-20

11) Member

13) I do not think we can go any
further in view of the G. I.
The decision may be communicated
to the Govt. when the suspension
taken in the matter.

Veb
29/6

13(a) Draft

D. M.
29.6

29/6

13(b)
Subd. with draft proposal accord

Veb
29/6

13(c) Secy
D. M.
1/7

Recd
5/7

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

P. T. O.

G. R. S. III-2)

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Ch File No. 42. of 1919. 2201 1747 8)

Serial No.	
52	To The District Magistrate, Mysore. D.O. No. G. 1567 dt 20th July 20. With reference to the antecedents of Mr. H. N. Raghavendra Rao, requests to kindly intimate what action he proposes to take in the matter.
53	From, The District Magistrate, Mysore Dist. D.O. no 214/B 30-7-20 rcd on 3-8-20. Desires to see the correspondence regarding the suspension & the subsequent dismissal of the individual before intimating the action to be taken against him.

PROTECTION BRANCH
28 JUL 1920

(1) Asst. Secretary's order on the paper under disposal :-
"Please put a draft to the I.G.P."
(Init) BN. 29/7.

(2) Kind attention is invited to Serial 48. — letter from the I.G. of Police forwarding certain official extracts. It is submitted for orders whether copies of this & the I.G.P's letter may not be sent to the Dist. Magistrate of Mysore.
Subd. (3) The correspondence with the Mysore Govt. papers on the subject are not available. The circumstances under which Mr Raghavendra Rao was suspended & subsequently dismissed are not also mentioned in the correspondence
4/8/20
4/8

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2)

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Cb File No. 42 of 1919

1747 9)

Serial No.

1) Please put up Draft order furnishing the info. that is available.

D. M. 12/8

5 1987

19a) Subd. with a draft accordg/ly

12 13/8/20 Asst 1618

19b) Issue D. M. 18/8

To

54 The District Magistrate, Mysore.

No. G. 4207 Dt 23-8-20.

Furnishes the information called for re: the antecedents of Mr. H. V. Raghavendra Rao, ex-Inspector of Police

55 From the Dt. Magistrate, Mysore

No. R.O.C 214 Dt 12-4-20.

Forwarded a report on the antecedents of Mr. H. V. Raghavendra Rao, Hon. Bench magistrate. Honour sought for orders. The Dt. Magistrate says that Raghavendra Rao is a Municipal Councillor, Vice-President of the Taluk Board and an elected member of the Dt. Board. And that under such circumstances as the matter was not less

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

10579

G. R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat.

C5 File No. 4/7 42 of 1919.

Serial No.

pursued further.

Subd with refce to para 13 Supra

(a) Whether in circumstances, in matter may perhaps be dropped.

III Members.

(a) When does his term of office as Hon. Magistrate expire. Suggest may be made in the L.B. Branch whether he is acting therein as a municipal comr or a member of the local Board was named by him first.

(b) Subd. Dte term of office of Mr. Raghavendra Rao as an Honorary magistrate expires in Dec 1921. The file may be sent to the Revenue for furnishing information re the latter portion of the Memorandum.

To the Revenue for favour compliance

Subd. The Revenue say there is no information on the point there.

(c) Move bring up in person

D. No. 5112 6-1-1921.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Libel

2) We may perhaps address the D. Cour in the matter

JPA 19/1

2) All right. Please put up a draft. I think Rees Mr. Scott Wd. be able to furnish me with

D. M.

27/1

J 27/1

10529

(11

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Cto File No. 42 of 1919.

25/ To the Local Boards ~~and~~ Branch of the Rep Dept to kindly note the members query in para 2 (a) and to state whether Mr. Raghavendra Rao's disability has been removed by Govt and if so, when or if not, whether that gentleman has been appointed a member of the Municipal Council in spite of the disqualification, the same having been lost sight of at the time

DRS
19/1/21.
Asst
1911

26/ Ot enquiring Asst

D. 28/1 29 Jan
D. 29/1

To

56 The District Magistrate, Mysore.

No. G. 150 11 dt 1-2-21.

Enquires whether his disability to serve as Municipal Councillor or a member of the Local Board was removed by Government.

OFFICE OF THE ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER
17 FEB 1921

27/2

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

768

G. R. S. III-2,

General and Revenue Secretariat. | CB File No. 142 of 19 19-20

Serial No.

5-7 From

The Dy Comr Mysore

Letter no Nil dt 4.8.20

States that Mr Raghavendra Rao was nominated as a member of the Taluk Board of Mysore in Govt Notn no R 1548/LB 103-18-26 dt 28.7.1919 and as such the question of his disability to serve as a nominated member of the Taluk Board did not arise.

30) According to Rule 10 (1) 6 of the Local Board Rules, a dismissed servant is ~~not~~ disqualified to stand for election. The Deputy Comr states that this rule does not apply to persons who have been nominated to serve on the Taluk Board and as such the question of his disability to serve on the Board did not arise. Perhaps when he was nominated, his antecedents were not considered. His term might have expired by 28th July 1922 as the term of a Taluk Board member is for 3 years.

31) He has been appointed a Honorary magistrate for Mysore from 11.1.22 & the term continues till 11.1.24.

32) Submitted for orders, with reference to the Secd Members ders in para 20 supra.

dt 4/8/20

33) This for consideration if the interpretation of the Dcom that the qualy prescribed for elected candidates for TK Bd

G. R. S. III-2)

General and Revenue Secretariat.

765

C5 File No. 10 42 of 1919.

Serial No.

not apply to nominated members is correct. Though the rules do not specifically lay down the qualifications for the latter, it seems that the qualifications prescribed in the case of candidates for election should be borne in mind in making nominations also.

3 5) Yes. See Col.

3 6) It seems doubtful, however, whether clause (b) of Rule 6 as the disability of dismissed servants is applicable to dismissal appeals of departments other than that of Municipal & Local Boards. Mr Raghavendra Rao was an Official of the Police Dept.

3 6) Why not? Dismissal qualifies the first part also. Punishment is however effective ... a Council after Board is wanting. Col 1578

Appr. 11/8/19

3 7) Are any qualifications bars prescribed for appointment of Bench Magistrates? Please point up rules if any. Col 1578

C5 16/8/19

V

Flag 9.

C5 202-07-4

3 8) The rules on the subject are: They should be retired officers or qualified persons. No special qualification is prescribed or disability to serve is mentioned.

& 18.8.19 3 9) No disabilities are prescribed, except that for being a chairman of a Bench knowledge of law is insisted on, wherever possible.

Appr. 9/8/19 4 0) So far as his nomination as Bench Magistrate is concerned, there is no valid objection. It may be undesirable to have a dismissed official as a magistrate

G. R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 42 of 19 19-20

Serial No.

Int dismissal some long ago, and
he is already on the different boards. Whether
he was actually dismissed is not clear

Cen Secy

Cap
19.8

1381
22.8.22

Secret
Number

25/8/22

Cap
29.8

41) Number there need on the
papers as to whether his election as a
Member of the R A was moved. Please
keep the matter in view for
the future.

Utti
30/8

A S 31-8-22 Newspaper forwarded

Cap
1.9.22

65
1/9

43)

* part II page 457.
Copy of the gazette
returned to Mudaliar

The newspaper* dated 24.8.22 containing the information
is put up. The R A Section may be asked to put up the
file giving reasons for his disqualification to stand for
voting or election for R A.

(Linked with
R 457-21
Mr Jos Raghavendra Rao's
file)

44) R A Section
with Compts

Cap
7.9

Flag B

5) * Typed copy of the second member's note
in R A file 5 of 21-22 re: Mr Raghavendra Rao's

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

* pages 184 19

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Cb. File No. 42 of 1920.

Serial No.

eligibility to continue as a member of the Legis. Assembly is put up.

Prof. Hor.

46) Sued with reference to para 41.

47) Perhaps withdrawal of magisterial powers is not necessary. Vide flag B.

3313 24-11-22

Second Member.

48) Sir. It may be noted that until his disability is removed he should not be re-invested with the powers. The Pb. Govt. should whether his gentleman has been appointed by the Legislature

S. Chetti

49) A. S. Protection Note & do we put per ours

50) To the L. S. G. Branch.

Serial No.

51) Sri Raghavendra Rao is not either on the list of Zamindars in the Notifications issued his name is not found in either of them

01 27/12

52) Notifications may be put up for reference.

Dcs 29/11/22

53) Please see Notification No. 3560 Ml. (S-22-4, dt 14.11.22 published on page 429 of Mysore Gazette dt 23rd Nov 22. notifying the reconstitution of the Inamdar mill: Sri Raghavendra Rao's name is not in it

54) The reconstitution of the Inamdar Zamindars will be published in this week's Gazette. Sri Raghavendra Rao's name is not in that also.

01 hl 29/11 30/11 2nd Member)

Dcs 30/11/22

3476 30-11-22

L 3356-22

55) 1/12 11/26/12/22

56) This may now be sent to the Protocol branch as the file belongs to that branch.

01 H.K. 24/23 31/1/23 Prot. Br.

57) Yes CSB 6.1.23

GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT JAN 1923 DEPT.

NOTE—Contn. sheet

dcs 3.1.23

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

2819

es File No. 42 P of 19 19-20

Serial No.	
58	From The Dt Magistrate Mysore Letter no Rep C 285-3, 1-12-1922 Requests the return of the Records sent with his Letter 3/12-11-1920 (P. 55). 58/ Sent with a draft D.O. No reference to the D.M. seems necessary, Please see Member's order 11/1/23 Dra in Para 6/ 11/1 59/ done 12/1
59	2. The Dy. Mysore D.O. no. P. 5706 & 15.1.23 States that Mr. Raghavendra Rao may not be again recommended for appt as Sub Magistrate for the Bench Court at Hunsur Subject to the file may be closed now Agr 19/1 23 Dms 29/1

19 JAN 1923

JUDICIAL BRA

B 1911

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat. File No. of 19

Serial No.

Second Member's notes in the file R.A.57 of 21-22 (Re: Mr.H.N.Raghavendra Rao's eligibility to continue as a member of the Representative Assembly)-

******

No rules have been prescribed for disqualifying a Magistrate (Honorary) already appointed. Since Mr.Raghavendra Rao's appointment was already been notified, I am afraid we cannot strictly speaking withdraw his powers, especially when he has acted as an Honorary Magistrate for nearly three years.

As regards Local Boards, the Municipal Regulation and the rules framed under the Local Boards Regulation provide for the removal of the disability consequent upon the previous conviction of a member or his removal from the public service and since Mr.Raghavendra Rao has been allowed to continue as a Municipal Commissioner in spite of the Government Order of 1910 for a long time, it may be reasonably contended that his disqualification has been removed though not expressly but by implication.

But, I agree with the Dewan in thinking that it looks odd that while a man is allowed to be a Municipal Commissioner, a Vice President of the Taluk and a member of the District Board he should be debarred from becoming a member of the

Representative

Serial No.

Representative Assembly. The remedy is to amend the rules of election to the Representative Assembly in this respect. They are too harsh and too severe. I think a provision should be made for the removal of the disability as in the Municipal and the Local Boards Regulation. In the collection of the rules which was revised by me, I think ~~xxxxx xxxxxx xxxxx~~ this provision was introduced. But these revised rules were not passed in Council in view of the contemplated changes in the constitution of the Representative Assembly.

Can this at least not be ~~xxxxxxx~~ modified now?
(Intd)A.R.B.
24-9-1922.

If to preserve uniformity, the Dewan thinks that Mr.Raghavendra Rao should no longer be in the Local bodies or act as an Honorary Magistrate till the rules of election to the Representative Assembly are revised, I shall have no objection to pass orders to that effect. But since this Gentlemen has already been a Magistrate and a member of the Taluk and District Board and no objection has been taken so far to his election or nomination, I would rather wait till the rules of election to the Representative Assembly are revised.

Alright. I agree
(Intd) A.R.B.
24-9-22.

(Intd) M. H. H.
20-9-1922.

Copy

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT..... Call for a report as to the antecedents of H. N. Raghavendra Rao, Asst Magistrate Hunsur Taluk Mysore Dist.

Diary No. of letter replied to..... 971

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT,
( )
, the 191

Date of issue..... 12/1/20

Signed by..... Mr P. M Rao

Section C
File No.
Serial No. 24

Confidential

W.O. No. G 15807

W.O.

To

~~The District Magistrate,~~

~~Mysore District.~~

Dear Sir,

I am directed to request you kindly to furnish Government with a report as to the antecedents of Mr. H. N. Raghavendra Rao, recently appointed as one of the Hony Magistrates for the Hunsur Taluk in notification No 13573/Cb 42-19-5 dt the 11th Dec 1919

I have &c

To

P. J. Bunny Esq
Dy Comptr Mysore

Dm 6/1

For Chief Secy

3/1/20
Appd
5/1

#242 852
F
919-20

Office of the District Magistrate,

Mysore District,

Dated, Mysore 21st January 1920.

DIARY No. 13571

1919-1920.
SECTION cts.
FILE No. 42-19
SERIAL No. 22.

From

P. F. Bowring, Esquire,

District Magistrate,

Mysore District.

To

C.S.Balasundaram Iyer, Esquire, B.A.,

Chief Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Bangalore.

Sir;

In reply to your D.O.letter No.G.15807 Cts.42-19-21 dated the 12th instant, requesting me to report on the antecedents of Mr.H.N.Raghavendra Rao, recently appointed as one of the Honorary Magistrates for the Hunsur taluk, I have the honor to state that this person is a land lord residing in Hunsur. He entered Government service about 24 years ago and was a Police Inspector in the state, but after putting about 4 years service he resigned his appointment. He was afterwards a Clerk in the Military Grass Farm at Hunsur and continued as such, until the Farm was abolished a few years ago. Since then, he is reported to have been taking an interest in public affairs. From all that I hear, he seems to be a respectable man.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

P. F. Bowring

District Magistrate,

Mysore District.

KSS

WD 0163—GPB—3,00,000—21-7-17—S.

187)

191

From

The District Magistrate,

Mysore District.

To

The Chief Secretary to Govt.

of Mysore, Bangalore.

Dated 21st January 1920.

Despatched

Received

General No.

No.

Enclosure No.

Submitting a report on the antecedents of Mr.H.N.Raghavendrarao recently appointed as one of the Honorary Magistrates for the Hunsur Taluk.

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....13577

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

( )

the *191* .

Date of issue.....13/2

Signed by.....W C&B Iyr

Section C&B

File No. 42-C9

Serial No. 30

D. O.

No. G 1863/

Dear Sir,

I am desired to request you to kindly intimate under what circumstances Mr. H. N. Raghavan who is now residing at Hemmet in the State Raio, who was a Police Inspector some twenty years back, resigned the Police Service.

Yours' Sincerely

D. M.

11/2

To

A. Subrahmania Iyer Esqr. B.A.

Inspector General of Police in

over. Mysore

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

(23

DIARY No. 18651

OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE

Bangalore, 10th April 1920.

Dear Sir,

With reference to your D.O. No. G 18631/ C.T.S. 42-19-30 dated 13th February 1920 re. Inspector Mr. H.N. Raghavendra Rao, I write to inform you that further search was made in this office for tracing the papers of the person in question but the same were not found. On referring to the schedule of Establishment of the Railway Police, Bangalore-Nanjangud Section filed in the Comptroller's office for the years 1894 to 1900, it is ascertained that the name of the above Inspector has not been entered in any of the schedules for the years noted above except in the one for 1895 but how it was omitted in the subsequent years is not known.

Yours sincerely,

C.S. Balasundaram Iyer, Esq., B.A.,
Chief Secretary to Government.

the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

DIARY No. 2220/24

D 2 Wis. 2896 19-20

Encls. one

Office of the Inspector General of Police in Mysore.

Dated Bangalore 1st June 1920.

Dear Sir,

With reference to your D.O. No 25288 CB 42-19 of the 5th ultimo re. the service of Mr. H.N. Raghavendra Rao in the Police Department, I write to inform you that from further search made in this office Registers and Police Fortnightly Gazettes, it is ascertained that Mr. Raghavendra Rao was placed under suspension in this office order No D dated 27th April 1896 and that one Venkata Rao, 1st Grade Jamadar of the Shimoga District was appointed in place of Mr. H. N. Raghavendra Rao who was subsequently dismissed from the force. This has also been published in the Police Gazette dated 1st September 1896. Extracts are enclosed.

Mr. Raghavendra Rao seems to have applied to be restored to his appointment in his petition dated 14th January 1897 and then again for being entertained as a clerk in the Office of the Inspector General of Police on 30th March 1898 and in reply to the latter, he seems to have been given an endorsement in

this office No 3497/190 of the 28th March 1898 that the Inspector General could not interfere in the matter.

Yours sincerely,

To

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer, Esq., B.A

Chief Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

No	No. and Date of Correspondence.		Subject Matter.
1	Camp D	27-4-1896	TO THE RAILWAY POLICE (EXTRACT FROM THE TO REGISTER 2) Stating that Inspector Raghavendra Rao of Nanjangud Bangalore Section may be placed under suspension at once pending further enquiry and a detailed report.
2	636/P.C 43	15-8-1896	TO THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER OF SHIMOGA AND KADUR DISTRICTS AND COMPTROLLER (EXTRACT FROM THE TO REGISTER 2) Memo. 1st Grade Jamadar Venkata Rao of the Shimoga District is appointed Inspector of the IV Grade on probation in the room of H.N. Raghavendra Rao dismissed and posted to the Chickmagalur Taluk (To join forth with)
3	636/43	15-8-1896	EXTRACT FROM THE POLICE FORTNIGHTLY CIRCULAR GAZETTE FOR 1-9-1896. 1st Grade Jamadar Venkata Rao of the Shimoga District is appointed Inspector of the IVth Grade on probation in the room of H. N. Raghavendra Rao dismissed and posted to the Chickmagalur Taluk.
4	219	14/16-1-1897	EXTRACT FROM THE PETITION REGISTER. Late Railway Inspector H. N. Raghavendra Rao. Requesting that his pay and batta for April 1896 may be ordered to be disbursed to him early and that he may be restored to to his appointment to enable him to maintain himself and his large family.
5.	437	30-3 1898 5-4	EXTRACT FROM THE PETITION REGISTER. H. N. Raghavendra Rao Door No 47 Manavarthpet Bangalore City praying for an appointment in the Inspector General's Office.
6.	3497/190	28-3-1898	EXTRACT FROM THE ENDORSEMENT REGISTER. H. N. Raghavendra Rao late Railway Inspector With reference to his petition dated 22-3 1898 is informed that the Inspector General cannot interfere in the matter.

Inspector General of police.

25

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....22207.....

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT,

( )

, *the* 191

Date of issue.....20/7.....

Signed by.....Mr Pm

Section Ch

File No. 42-19

Serial No. 52

No. 9/1567

~~To~~ Dear Sir,

I am ~~desired to~~ invite ~~Reference to his Office DO no S.1580/Ch 42-19-21 of 12th Jan 1920~~ regarding the antecedents of Mr. H. N. Raghavendra Rao, one of the Hony Magistrates for the Hunsur Taluk ~~and~~ I am ~~directed~~ desired to request you state that from the information received from the Inspector General of Police it is seen that Mr Raghavendra Rao was placed under suspension in the Police Department in about 1896 and that he was subsequently dismissed from the force ~~tendered his resignation which was accepted by Govt.~~ I am also that it

P.T. over.

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter no Dy D.s 352/1920 dy 21.1.1920

all the information which is reported to
be available now. Under these
circumstances, I am to request you to
kindly intimate what action may you propose to take
be taken by the Officer in question. in the matter.

Yours Sincerely

D. M.

1917

To

P. F. Bowring Esq.

District Magistrate, Mymensingh

12/20 Apr 1/17

List of enclosure

Copied by

Examined by

WD 86—GPB—60,000—15-11-16

28,29

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

1747/28

Mysore,
30th July 1920.

Dear Sir,

In reply to your D.O. letter No. G.1567/Cts.42-19-52 dated the 20th instant asking me to intimate what action I would propose to take in regard to one Mr. H.N. Raghavendra Rao, appointed as one of the Honorary Magistrates for the Hunsur Taluk Bench Court, in view of certain circumstances brought to the notice of Government by the Inspector General of Police, I beg to state that I would like to see the correspondence regarding the suspension and the subsequent dismissal of the individual referred to above, and to request therefore that the same may be

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

D. 1747

Section: Cons

File: 47

Serial: 54

No: 64207 me

To 923/18

The District Magistrate, Mysore

Sir,

With reference to your letter No 29 30th Aug 1920 regarding ~~the action to be taken against~~ Mr. H. N. Raghavendra, ~~an~~ Asst Magistrate, ~~for the~~ Hunsur Taluk Bench Court, I am directed to state that the Inspector General of Police reports that he was placed under suspension in ~~his~~ the Police Office ~~no~~ Order No. dated 27th April 1896 & that one Venkata Rao, 1st Grade Jamedar of the Shimoga District was appointed in place of Mr. H. N. Raghavendra Rao who was subsequently dismissed from the ~~Office~~ force. I am also to forward herewith a Copy of the extract received from the I.G. of

information and to add that the original correspondence on the subject are stated to have been destroyed

~~other information is said to be~~

also in the matter.

I have se

For Chief Secy

Rec no 214 & 1 file
Jan 2021

Office of the District Magistrate,
Mysore District,
Dated Mysore 12th November 1920.

No. 10569
SECTION cl3
FILE No. 42-19
SERIAL No. 55

From

P.F.Bowring, Esquire,
District Magistrate,
Mysore District.

To

C.S.Balasundaram Iyer, Esq., B.A.,
Chief Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.G.4207 Dts.42-19-54 dated the 23rd August 1920, regarding Mr. H.N.Raghavendra Rao, Honorary Magistrate, Hunsur taluk Bench Court, I have the honor to state that this individual was no doubt dismissed from the Police force on the 18th August 1896, and it is on record that he has not been debarred from entering Government Service and that he is eligible for appointment under the Government. He was however entertained as a clerk in the Military Grass Farm, Hunsur, on the 17th September 1898 where he worked for some years and eventually resigned. This individual is a Municipal Councillor, Vice President of the Taluk Board and an elected member to the District Board.

2. Under these circumstances, I think no further action is necessary in this matter at present.

3. Mr. H.N.Raghavendra Rao's letter dated 13th September 1920 together with its enclosures is herein enclosed for perusal and return when done with.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

DISTRICT MAGISTRATE
Mysore District.

KSS

24[illegible] 19

From

The District Magistrate,

Mysore District.

To

The Chief Secretary to Govt.

of Mysore, Bangalore.

Dated 12 Nov 19[illegible]

Despatched

Received

General No. R.C. No. 214 ...

No. 20-21

Enclosure No.

Submitting a report on the antecedent of Mr. H.N.Raghavendra Rao Hon.Bench Magistrate, Hunsur.

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to 10569

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
, *the* 191 .

Date of issue 1/2

Signed by

Section 6(i)
File No. 42-19 52
Serial No.

No. G 15011

To

The Dist Magistrate Mysore

Sir

With reference to Correspondence ending with your letter no. R.O-6/I.209/14 12th Nov 1920 transmitting a report on the antecedents of Mr. H.T. Ramachandra Rao, Hony Bench Magistrate, Hunsur, I am directed to enquire whether his disability to serve as a municipal councillor or a member of the Local Board was removed by Govt.

over. I have etc

29/1

28/1

D2 Dis No. 101 48/22 — 22.23

7(57) 769/F 34

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
MYSORE DISTRICT,

Dated, Mysore, 4th August *192*2.



From

P.F.Bowring, Esquire,
District Magistrate and
Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.

To

T.E.Jayarama Iyer, Esq. B.A.B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore.
Revenue Department.

SIR,

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No.G.15011 Cts.42-19-56 dated 1st February 1921 enquiring whether the disability of Mr.H.Raghavendra Rao to serve as Municipal Councillor or a member of the Local Board was removed by Government, I have the honor to state that as already reported in this office No.R.O.C.214/20-21 dated 12th November 1920, though Mr.Raghavendra Rao was dismissed from service there is nothing on record to show that he has ~~not~~ been debarred from re-entering Government Service. The provision of Section 15 (i) (ii) of the Municipal Regulation of 1906 does not seem to apply to his case so long as his dismissal is not notified as debarring him from reemployment as contemplated in the Section. Under Rule 10,1 (6) of the Local Boards Rules issued with Government Notification No.R.7559-L.B.54-18-1 dated 18th December 1918 a person dismissed from Government Service is disqualified for election as a member of a Taluk Board. Mr.Raghavendra Rao is not now an elected member of the Taluk Board of Hunsur. He was nominated by Government in Notification No.R.1548 L.B. 3-15-26 dated 28th July 1919 and as such the question of his disability to serve on the Taluk Board as a nominated member did not arise.

I have the honor to be, Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

DISTRICT MAGISTRATE,
Mysore District.

6471

19

From

The Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

To

The Secretary to the Government
of Mysore, Bangalore.

Dated (Rev. Dept.)

Despatched 4th August 1922

Received

General No. D & D 19/1922-23.
No.

Enclosure No. 5 - A.C.M.

Stating that there is nothing disqualifying Mr. H. V. Raghavendra Rao of Hunsur from being appointed as a Member of the Taluq Board.

No. Ref. Police. 285/J.22-23.

2869

35

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
MYSORE DISTRICT,
Dated, Mysore, 1st December 1922. 192

From

P.F. Bowring, Esq.,
District Magistrate &
Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.

To

K. Matthan, Esq., B.A.,
Chief *Secretary to the Government of His Highness*
the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore.

SIR,

I have the honor to request you to kindly return those records produced by Mr. H.N. Raghavendra Rao of Hunsur and sent to you with my letter No.R.C.214/J.20-21 dated the 10th November 1920, as the gentleman is pressing for the return of the same.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

P F Bowring

District Magistrate,
Mysore District.

3609

A.N.

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to 2619

Section 15
File No. W-19
Serial No 5

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
the *191*

No. 5706 Records

To Capt. of

Date of issue 15/1/23

Signed by M. Jag

Dear sir

In returning herewith the records received with your letter no Ref Petition C 28 of 20-21 dt 16-11-20 re the dismissal of H. N. Raghavendra Rao from Police force. I am desired to state to you that his name may not be again recommended for appointment as special magistrate unless for the Bench Court at Hemsen the disability of dismissed from public service is removed. However the meantime he may serve his period in the present appointment

Yours sincerely

Dy

Dy. No. 5706
8/11/23